Data Based on Criterion-Referenced Test (CRT) Results

Goal 1: Increase Grade 3 proficiency rates in reading.

Performance		CRT Reading	CRT Reading
Zone	School Name	Proficiency Rate	Proficiency Rate
2014-15		2012-13	2013-14
7	A damas FC	FC 20/	FQ 70/
	Adams ES	56.3%	58.7%
	Adcock ES	53.0%	66.3%
	Allamo ES	78.4%	66.2%
	Allen ES	82.3%	72.0%
	Antonello ES	61.4%	53.2%
9	Bailey ES	56.4%	62.8%
10	Bartlett ES	77.5%	74.7%
11	Bass ES	61.2%	68.7% *
12	Batterman ES	75.2%	
10	Beatty ES	64.7%	68.9%
8	Beckley ES	41.7%	48.4%
15	Bell ES	48.0%	60.0%
12	Bendorf ES	72.9%	68.8%
16	Bennett ES	36.4%	51.9%
1	Bilbray ES	77.3%	82.4%
11	Blue Diamond ES	*	*
2	Bonner ES	85.1%	77.8%
P6	Booker ES	47.5%	52.0%
16	Bowler, Grant ES	66.3%	68.6%
16	Bowler, Joseph ES	49.0%	63.2%
1	Bozarth ES	82.2%	65.2%
10	Bracken ES	80.7%	89.0%
6	Brookman ES	47.2%	59.5%
4	Bruner ES	42.1%	42.2%
3	Bryan, Richard ES	81.6%	82.2%
12	Bryan, Roger ES	72.3%	72.8%
3	Bunker ES	46.1%	55.7%
4	Cahlan ES	51.0%	54.7%
5	Cambeiro ES	28.6%	22.5%
1	Carl ES	56.4%	71.8%
Р6	Carson ES	70.7%	61.7%
11	Cartwright ES	73.3%	77.9%
12	Christensen ES	64.4%	63.3%

[&]quot;*" Indicates data not presented for groups fewer than 10.
This data is suppressed due to FERPA regulations.

Data Based on Criterion-Referenced Test (CRT) Results

Goal 1: Increase Grade 3 proficiency rates in reading.

Performance	nt Consortium Field Test for 3rd grade	CRT Reading	CRT Reading
Zone	School Name	Proficiency Rate	Proficiency Rate
2014-15		2012-13	2013-14
4	Conners FC	72.6%	72 10/
5	Conners ES Cortez ES		73.1%
		30.4%	38.0%
4	Cox, Clyde ES	55.9%	53.7%
10	Cox, David ES	76.7%	80.6%
2	Cozine ES	54.3%	58.4%
5	Crast wood FS	33.9%	37.4% *
8	Crestwood ES	41.4%	
3	Culley ES	53.1%	59.2%
7	Cunningham ES	53.8%	51.2%
8	Dailey ES	38.4%	47.1%
1	Darnell ES	77.1%	86.2%
7	Dearing ES	20.2%	46.0% *
12	Decker ES	48.4%	
15	Derfelt ES	69.0%	51.5%
4	Deskin ES	62.5%	64.7%
5	Detwiler ES	35.9%	43.4%
5	Diaz ES	35.5%	39.6%
2	Dickens ES	46.6%	50.4%
12	Diskin ES	58.7%	58.7%
12	Dondero ES	47.1%	41.9%
9	Dooley ES	83.1%	73.6%
2	Duncan ES	53.2%	39.4%
5	Earl, Ira ES	38.2%	48.5%
12	Earl, Marion ES	54.3%	55.7%
6	Edwards ES	50.4%	*
3	Eisenberg ES	77.8%	52.1%
4	Elizondo ES	47.9%	57.6%
7	Ferron ES	57.3%	58.2%
11	Fine ES	67.9%	70.9%
P6	Fitzgerald ES	30.8%	18.7%
3	Fong ES	52.9%	59.0%
11	Forbuss ES	76.4%	75.9%
8	French ES	59.3%	53.2%

[&]quot;*" Indicates data not presented for groups fewer than 10.
This data is suppressed due to FERPA regulations.

Data Based on Criterion-Referenced Test (CRT) Results

Goal 1: Increase Grade 3 proficiency rates in reading.

Performance	nt Consortium Field Test for 3rd grade	CRT Reading	CRT Reading
Zone	School Name	Proficiency Rate	Proficiency Rate
2014-15		2012-13	2013-14
11	Frias ES	66.0%	75.9%
8	Fyfe ES	50.0%	50.0%
13	Galloway ES	67.3%	66.3%
3	Garehime ES	74.6%	64.9%
11	Gehring ES	59.8%	63.2%
10	Gibson ES	64.5%	74.7%
P6	Gilbert ES	72.4%	74.7%
2	Givens ES	92.7%	90.5%
7	Goldfarb ES	67.4%	58.6%
16	Goodsprings ES	*	*
12	Goolsby ES	83.5%	86.8%
2	Goynes ES	66.9%	66.0%
6	Gragson ES	49.6%	40.9%
15	Gray ES	56.8%	52.6%
15	Griffith ES	51.6%	*
1	Guy ES	68.8%	62.1%
14	Hancock ES	75.4%	58.4%
9	Harmon ES	57.8%	60.3%
7	Harris ES	38.3%	57.4%
2	Hayden ES	64.2%	60.8%
12	Hayes ES	66.4%	70.5%
2	Heard ES	76.6%	78.5%
1	Heckethorn ES	66.2%	80.2%
6	Herr ES	59.4%	57.8%
5	Herron ES	41.4%	47.0%
5	Hewetson ES	60.0%	*
6	Hickey ES	46.9%	46.1%
10	Hill ES	47.4%	55.2%
9	Hinman ES	57.1%	*
P6	Hoggard ES	79.2%	80.5%
8	Hollingsworth ES	37.5%	21.8%
11	Hummel ES	59.8%	63.4%
16	Indian Springs ES	33.3%	68.4%

[&]quot;*" Indicates data not presented for groups fewer than 10.
This data is suppressed due to FERPA regulations.

Data Based on Criterion-Referenced Test (CRT) Results

Goal 1: Increase Grade 3 proficiency rates in reading.

Performance CRT Reading CRT Reading			
	School Name	Proficiency Rate	CRT Reading Proficiency Rate
Zone	School Name		
2014-15		2012-13	2013-14
7	Iverson ES	67.8%	62.8%
15	Jacobson ES	62.1%	61.0%
5	Jeffers ES	55.9%	56.7%
12	Jydstrup ES	47.4%	51.4%
3	Kahre ES	63.4%	64.9%
3	Katz ES	60.3%	*
7	Keller ES	55.6%	48.7%
P6	Kelly ES	73.0%	25.0%
9	Kesterson ES	69.1%	75.7%
12	Kim ES	65.0%	63.9%
9	King, Martha ES	63.9%	75.2%
6	King, Martin ES	36.3%	43.6%
8	Lake ES	60.6%	*
13	Lamping ES	91.1%	95.0%
4	Lincoln ES	53.7%	48.0%
7	Long ES	31.2%	47.2%
2	Lowman ES	38.1%	32.4%
3	Lummis ES	81.8%	76.8%
16	Lundy ES	*	*
5	Lunt ES	36.0%	32.6%
4	Lynch ES	49.0%	*
10	Mack ES	61.1%	70.5%
P6	Mackey ES	74.2%	78.5%
14	Manch ES	36.6%	42.1%
5	Martinez ES	41.0%	46.9%
1	May ES	67.8%	69.6%
P6	Mc Call ES	44.4%	39.7%
9	Mc Caw ES	56.2%	64.1%
10	McDoniel ES	66.7%	56.5%
3	McMillan ES	58.4%	45.0%
8	McWilliams ES	50.4%	62.3%
7	Mendoza ES	61.1%	71.1%
SSD	Miley Ach. ES	*	*

[&]quot;*" Indicates data not presented for groups fewer than 10.
This data is suppressed due to FERPA regulations.

Data Based on Criterion-Referenced Test (CRT) Results

Goal 1: Increase Grade 3 proficiency rates in reading.

Performance	nt Consortium Field Test for 3rd grad	CRT Reading	CRT Reading
Zone	School Name	Proficiency Rate	Proficiency Rate
2014-15		2012-13	2013-14
	NATH COLD SC		
10	Miller, Sandy ES	60.8%	65.9%
7	Moore ES	46.2%	43.6%
9	Morrow ES	84.8%	86.5%
14	Mountain View ES	33.0%	34.2%
1	Neal ES	72.0%	76.2%
9	Newton ES	64.1%	71.3%
12	Ober ES	71.9%	82.0%
1	O'Roarke ES	88.6%	85.1%
5	Paradise ES	37.6%	43.8%
8	Park ES	58.8%	61.7%
4	Parson ES	44.6%	36.7%
4	Perkins, Dr Claude G ES	49.6%	37.1%
16	Perkins, Ute ES	72.4%	64.3%
5	Petersen ES	22.3%	35.9%
15	Piggott ES	69.6%	67.4%
15	Pittman ES	52.9%	35.4%
4	Priest ES	45.3%	43.2%
15	Red Rock ES	39.0%	30.1%
3	Reed ES	35.3%	43.8%
11	Reedom ES	76.7%	67.0%
9	Reid ES	*	*
1	Rhodes ES	68.9%	75.2%
11	Ries ES	72.3%	67.6%
10	Roberts ES	70.2%	64.3%
12	Rogers ES	76.7%	72.4%
4	Ronnow ES	38.9%	46.1%
5	Ronzone ES	43.0%	47.4%
14	Roundy ES	40.0%	50.8%
8	Rowe ES	54.7%	38.9%
7	Rundle ES	35.7%	31.9%
16	Sandy Valley ES	41.7%	63.2%
1	Scherkenbach ES	73.7%	*
11	Schorr ES	78.7%	74.2%

[&]quot;*" Indicates data not presented for groups fewer than 10.
This data is suppressed due to FERPA regulations.

Data Based on Criterion-Referenced Test (CRT) Results

Goal 1: Increase Grade 3 proficiency rates in reading.

Performance		CRT Reading	CRT Reading
Zone	School Name	Proficiency Rate	Proficiency Rate
2014-15		2012-13	2013-14
	Contract		
2	Scott ES	47.0%	45.7%
9	Sewell ES	77.5%	68.4%
2	Simmons ES	61.8%	71.2%
13	Smalley ES	72.3%	71.8%
7	Smith, Hal ES	52.9%	42.4%
15	Smith, Helen M ES	58.8%	57.1%
7	Snyder ES	64.2%	52.6%
5	Squires ES	41.8%	45.5%
6	Stanford	49.5%	50.0%
2	Staton ES	84.6%	85.7%
11	Steele ES	74.8%	77.2%
11	Stuckey ES	74.8%	78.1%
14	Sunrise Acres ES	45.0%	47.0%
11	Tanaka ES	55.1%	65.0%
4	Tarr ES	76.0%	71.3%
2	Tartan ES	47.3%	52.6%
5	Tate ES	29.8%	38.1%
13	Taylor, Glen ES	81.1%	83.1%
9	Taylor, Robert ES	48.1%	46.3%
12	Thiriot ES	35.9%	*
8	Thomas ES	46.2%	49.6%
1	Thompson ES	77.0%	73.7%
10	Thorpe ES	69.2%	61.0%
3	Tobler ES	43.5%	59.3%
8	Tomiyasu ES	56.8%	*
10	Treem ES	56.7%	60.8%
1	Triggs ES	66.4%	79.0%
8	Twin Lakes ES	53.4%	52.2%
13	Twitchell ES	84.6%	82.1%
7	Ullom ES	46.5%	49.1%
13	Vanderburg ES	91.6%	97.2%
SSD	Variety ES	*	*
15	Vegas Verdes ES	37.2%	47.6%

[&]quot;*" Indicates data not presented for groups fewer than 10.
This data is suppressed due to FERPA regulations.

Data Based on Criterion-Referenced Test (CRT) Results

Goal 1: Increase Grade 3 proficiency rates in reading.

Performance Zone	School Name	CRT Reading Proficiency Rate	CRT Reading Proficiency Rate
2014-15		2012-13	2013-14
16	Virgin Valley ES	63.6%	66.7%
13	Walker ES	81.3%	81.8%
13	Wallin ES	84.3%	93.0%
8	Ward, Gene ES	38.4%	*
1	Ward, Kitty ES	83.0%	74.1%
5	Warren ES	23.7%	43.4%
15	Wasden ES	45.1%	52.9%
2	Watson ES	34.3%	45.8%
7	Wengert ES	56.1%	55.3%
3	West Prep ES	40.9%	46.8%
9	Whitney ES	40.7%	38.8%
10	Wiener ES	67.0%	69.1%
14	Wilhelm ES	48.7%	42.9%
5	Williams, Tom ES	33.1%	40.9%
P6	Williams, Wendell ES	41.9%	53.5%
1	Wolfe ES	50.0%	51.9%
13	Wolff, Elise ES	84.0%	82.8%
4	Woolley ES	50.9%	53.4%
11	Wright ES	76.2%	77.9%
14	Wynn ES	37.0%	31.6%

[&]quot;*" Indicates data not presented for groups fewer than 10.
This data is suppressed due to FERPA regulations.