

## ACHIEVEMENT GAPS-MIDDLE SCHOOLS

### Data Based on End Of Course and Smarter Summative Assessment Results

**Goal 2:** Reduce the overall achievement gap percentage points in elementary and middle school between the highest-performing subgroup and ethnic/racial subgroups.

Please Note: For the 2015-2016 school year, the highest-performing subgroup in the Clark County School District was the Asian population. A negative number indicates the school supergroup (all ethnicities minus Asian) is below the highest-performing district subgroup (Asian). A positive number indicates the school supergroup (all ethnicities minus Asian) is performing above the highest-performing district subgroup (Asian).

DISCLAIMER: Data provided are for informational purposes only. Data may be subject to update and correction and are not considered final until published on the Nevada Report Card Web site (<http://nevadareportcard.com>).

Performance Zone <sup>1</sup>	School Name	Math Achievement Gap 2015-2016	Reading Achievement Gap 2015-2016
14	Bailey MS	-44.5	-51.0
3	Becker MS	-19.4	-9.0
Education Services Division	Biltmore Cont HS	*	*
6	Bridger MS	-33.6	-27.4
15	Brinley MS	-48.3	-52.5
8	Brown JHS	-29.2	-22.4
13	Burkholder JHS	-31.8	-27.4
1	Cadwallader MS	-16.3	-12.2
11	Canarelli MS	-20.0	-16.5
15	Cannon JHS	-41.5	-37.0
6	Cashman MS	-25.2	-28.9
10	Cortney JHS	-47.4	-47.2
Education Services Division	Cowan Academic Center	*	*
2	Cram MS	-27.4	-36.6
1	Escobedo MS	-30.9	-27.7
11	Faiss MS	-29.6	-22.0
12	Fertitta MS	-16.8	-14.8
8	Findlay MS	-41.8	-41.5
15	Fremont MS	-46.1	-47.0
16	Garrett MS	-16.9	-12.8
8	Garside JHS	-42.0	-40.3
9	Gibson MS	-39.7	-32.8
10	Greenspun JHS	-18.3	-8.0
12	Guinn MS	-32.1	-25.5
7	Harney MS	-35.8	-33.5
16	Hughes MS	-18.8	-31.8
8	Hyde Park MS	-6.0	-10.2
16	Indian Springs MS	-17.0	-33.5
Education Services Division	Jeffrey Behavior JR/SR	*	*
12	Johnson JHS	-33.6	-29.9
2	Johnston MS	-44.9	-44.2
7	Keller MS	-43.7	-43.9
6	Knudson MS	-31.7	-21.8
16	Laughlin JR/SR	-45.7	-39.9
12	Lawrence JHS	-33.4	-21.5
1	Leavitt MS	-23.2	-13.8
1	Lied MS	-39.0	-34.7
16	Lyon MS	-11.7	-11.0
7	Mack MS	-49.0	-48.7

<sup>1</sup> Performance Zone designations are as of 1/19/2017

\*Results are suppressed for performance zones with fewer than 11 students tested

## ACHIEVEMENT GAPS-MIDDLE SCHOOLS

### Data Based on End Of Course and Smarter Summative Assessment Results

**Goal 2:** Reduce the overall achievement gap percentage points in elementary and middle school between the highest-performing subgroup and ethnic/racial subgroups.

Please Note: For the 2015-2016 school year, the highest-performing subgroup in the Clark County School District was the Asian population. A negative number indicates the school supergroup (all ethnicities minus Asian) is below the highest-performing district subgroup (Asian). A positive number indicates the school supergroup (all ethnicities minus Asian) is performing above the highest-performing district subgroup (Asian).

DISCLAIMER: Data provided are for informational purposes only. Data may be subject to update and correction and are not considered final until published on the Nevada Report Card Web site (<http://nevadareportcard.com>).

Performance Zone <sup>1</sup>	School Name	Math Achievement Gap 2015-2016	Reading Achievement Gap 2015-2016
10	Mannion MS	-14.0	-7.3
6	Martin MS	-24.3	-26.8
9	Miley Achievement Center Sec	*	*
13	Miller MS	-7.5	-1.8
3	Molasky JHS	-41.4	-41.9
4	Monaco MS	-44.6	-49.6
9	NV Learning Academy	17.6	19.7
14	OCallaghan MS	-43.4	-39.6
15	Orr MS	-49.4	-50.9
Education Services Division	Peterson Beh JR/SR	*	*
15	Robison MS	-47.6	-43.3
3	Rogich MS	-2.3	-8.4
16	Sandy Valley JR/SR	-44.7	-45.1
1	Saville MS	-25.5	-22.1
12	Sawyer MS	-41.0	-31.3
10	Schofield MS	-29.6	-23.3
4	Sedway MS	-47.3	-44.7
11	Silvestri JHS	-23.9	-23.9
4	Smith MS	-41.6	-45.4
Education Services Division	SW Behavior JR/SR	*	*
2	Swainston MS	-44.3	-43.3
11	Tarkanian MS	-22.1	-12.1
Student Services Division	Variety JR/SR	*	*
15	Von Tobel MS	-43.3	-52.1
13	Webb MS	-3.5	-0.9
4	West Prep JR/SR	-50.8	-52.7
10	White MS	-30.6	-23.4
7	Woodbury MS	-42.3	-36.6

<sup>1</sup> Performance Zone designations are as of 1/19/2017

\*Results are suppressed for performance zones with fewer than 11 students tested